



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Oct-21-2011 3:20 pm

Case Number: CGC-10-501947

Filing Date: Oct-21-2011 3:20

Juke Box: 001 Image: 03360752

JURY VERDICT

LUCILLE M. WINSTON VS. VICTORIA'S SECRET DIRECT, LLC et al

001C03360752

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

1
2
3
4 ORIGINAL
5 ORIGINAL
6

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

OCT 21 2011

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: [Signature]
Deputy Clerk

7
8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10
11 Lucille M. Winston,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
15 Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 400 Negligence

17
18
19 We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

20 1. Was Pierre Washington negligent?

21 Yes No

22 If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer
no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

23 2. Was Pierre Washington's negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Lucille
24 Winston?


25 Yes No

26 If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop here, answer
no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

27
28 3. What are Lucille Winston's damages?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$ 4938.11
b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$ 165,000
c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$ 85,000

Signed: 
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011

After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

VF-1301 Battery--Self-Defense/Defense of Others at Issue

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Did ~~Allied Barton~~ Pierre Washington touch Lucille Winston or cause Lucille Winston to be touched with the intent to harm or offend her?

7 Yes 5 No \Rightarrow NO ANSWER

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Did Lucille Winston consent to be touched?

 Yes No

If your answer to question 2 is no, then answer question 3. If you answered yes, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

3. Was Lucille Winston harmed or offended by the conduct of ~~Allied Barton~~ Pierre Washington ?

 Yes No

If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

4. Would a reasonable person in Lucille Winston's situation have been offended by the touching?

 Yes No

If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.]

5. Did ~~Allied Barton~~ Pierre Washington reasonably believe that Lucille Winston was going to harm Pierre Washington *or any other person?*

 Yes No

If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, skip question 6 and answer question 7.

6. Did ~~Allied Barton, Stonestown Mall~~ or Pierre Washington use only the amount of force that was reasonably necessary to protect Pierer Washington *or any other person?*

 Yes No

If your answer to question 6 is no, then answer question 7. If you answered yes, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



7. What are Lucille Winston's damages?

- a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$ _____
- b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$ _____
- c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain And mental suffering.) \$ _____

Signed: *Paul M. White*
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011

After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

D

1 CACI VF-1404. FALSE ARREST WITHOUT WARRANT BY PRIVATE CITIZEN-
2 -AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE--PROBABLE CAUSE TO ARREST

3
4 We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

5 1. Did Pierre Washington intentionally cause Lucille Winston to be arrested
6 without a warrant?

7 Yes No

8 If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered
9 no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

10 2. Did Lucille Winston commit or attempt to commit a crime in Pierre
11 Washington's presence?

12 Yes No

13 If your answer to question 2 is no, then answer question 3. If you answered
14 yes, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

15 3. Was Pierre Washington's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to
16 Lucille Wins5ton?

17 Yes No

18 If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered
19 no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

20 4. What are Lucille Winston's damages?

21 a. Past economic loss

22 medical expenses \$

23 _____
24 Total Past Economic Damages: \$ 4938.11

25 b. Future economic loss

26 pain and suffering \$


27 _____
28 Total Future Economic Damages \$ 85,000

1
2 c. Past noneconomic loss, including
3 emotional distress

4 \$ 165,000

5
6 TOTAL

7 \$ 254,938.11

8
9
10 Signed: 

11 Presiding Juror

12
13 Dated: 10/21/2011

14
15 After it has been signed/After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict
16 form to the clerk/bailiff/judge..
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 1407 False Imprisonment—
Unnecessary Delay in Processing/Releasing

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Did Pierre Washington hold Lucille Winston in custody?

Yes No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Was there an unnecessary delay in releasing Lucille Winston?

Yes No

If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

3. Did Lucille ~~Washington~~ ^{Winston} consent to the delay?

Yes No

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

If your answer to question 3 is no, then answer question 4. If you answered yes, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

4. Was Pierre Washington's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to Lucille Washington?

Yes No

If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

5. What are Lucille Winston's damages?

- a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$ 4938.11
- b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$ 165,000
- c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain And mental suffering.) \$ 85,000

Signed: 
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011

After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

D

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 1600 Intentional Infliction of
Emotional Distress

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Was Pierre Washington's conduct outrageous?

Yes No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Did Pierre Washington's conduct intend to cause Lucille Winston emotional distress or did Pierre Washington act with reckless disregard of the probability that Lucille Winston would suffer emotional distress, knowing that Lucille Winston was present when the conduct occurred?

Yes No

If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Did Lucille Washington suffer severe emotional distress?

Yes No

If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

4. Was Pierre Washington's conduct a substantial factor in causing Lucille Washington's severe emotional distress?

Yes No

If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

5. What are Lucille Winston's damages?

- a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$ _____
- b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$ _____
- c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$ _____

Signed: 
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011

After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 1603 Negligent Infliction of
Emotional Distress—Direct Victim

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Was Pierre Washington Negligent?
 Yes No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Did Lucille Winston suffer serious emotional distress?
 Yes ~~Yes~~ ^{GM} No

If your answer to question 2 is no, then answer question 3. If you answered yes, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

3. Was Pierre Washington's negligence a substantial factor in causing Lucille Winston's serious emotional distress?

1 Yes No

2 If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop here, answer
3 no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

4 4. What are Lucille Winston's damages?

- 5 a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$ 4938.11
- 6 b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain
and mental suffering.) \$ 165,000
- 7 c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain
And mental suffering.) \$ 85,000

8 Signed: 
9 Presiding Juror

10 Dated: 10/21/2011

11 After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 3900 Punitive Damages

We answer the question submitted to us as follows:

1. Did Pierre Washington engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?

Yes No

Signed: 
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011

After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 3901 Punitive Damages
Against Employer

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Did Pierre Washington engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?

 Yes No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Was Pierre Washington an officer, director, or managing agent of AlliedBarton acting on behalf of AlliedBarton?

 Yes No

Signed: *[Signature]*
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/24/2011

After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 3903 Punitive Damages—
Entity Defendant--Ratification

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Did an agent or employee of AlliedBarton engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?

Yes No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Did one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of AlliedBarton know of this conduct and adopt or approve it after it occurred?

Yes No

Signed: 
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011

After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 3700—Vicarious Liability
AlliedBarton

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:


1. Was Pierre Washington AlliedBarton's employee or agent?

Yes No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Was Pierre Washington acting within the scope of his agency or employment when he harmed Lucille Winston?

Yes No

Signed: 
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011
After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Lucille M. Winston,

Plaintiff,

v.

AlliedBarton Security Services, LP, Pierre
Washington, Stonestown Shopping Center, LP
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

Verdict Form VF 3700—Vicarious Liability
Stonestown

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:


1. Was Pierre Washington Stonestown Shopping Center's employee or agent?

Yes No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions on this form, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Was Pierre Washington acting within the scope of his agency or employment when he harmed Lucille Winston?

Yes No

Signed: 
Presiding Juror

Dated: 10/21/2011
After all verdict forms have been signed, deliver this verdict form to the bailiff.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT - STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

LUCILLE M. WINSTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

VICTORIA'S SECRET DIRECT, LLC,
GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES, INC.,
ALLIEDBARTON SECURITY SERVICES,
LLC, PIERRE WASHINGTON, APRIL DOE,
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendants.

CASE NO. CGC 10-501947

aw
~~[PROPOSED]~~ JUDGMENT ON JURY
VERDICT

Trial Date: October 11, 2011
Department: 624

Honorable Judge Arnold Rosenfield

This Action came on regularly for trial on October 11, 2011, in dept 624 of the Superior Court. The Honorable Judge Arnold Rosenfield, presiding; the Plaintiff Lucille M. Winston appearing by attorneys John Fitzpatrick Vannucci Esq. of the Law Offices of John Fitzpatrick Vannucci, and Wykeisha Orr of the Orr Firm. The Defendants Pierre Washington, AlliedBarton Security Services LLC. and General Growth Properties INC., dba Stonestown Shopping Center LP., appearing by their attorneys Lenore Cabreros Kelly and Ronald S. Bushner of Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP.

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT

ORIGINAL

1 A Jury of 12 Persons with two alternates was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were
2 sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly
3 instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury. The Jury deliberated and
4 thereafter returned to the Court with the following special verdicts:
5

6 Special Verdict Form VF-400-Negligence
7

8 1. Was Pierre Washington Negligent?

9 Yes No

10 2. Was Pierre Washington's negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Lucille M.
11 Winston?

12 Yes No
13

14 3. What are Lucille M. Winston's damages?

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 15 a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses | <u>\$4,938.11</u> |
| 16 b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain
and mental suffering.) | <u>\$165,000.00</u> |
| 17 c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain
18 And mental suffering.) | <u>\$ 85,000.00</u> |
- 19
20

21 Special Verdict VF 1404-False Arrest Without Warrant By Private Citizen-Affirmative Defense -
22 Probable Cause to Arrest

23 1. Did Pierre Washington intentionally cause Lucille Winston to be arrested without a
24 warrant?

25 Yes No

26 2. Did Lucille Winston Commit or attempt to commit a crime in Pierre Washington's
27 presence?

28 Yes No

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Was Pierre Washington's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to Lucille Winston?

Yes No

4. What are Lucille M. Winston's damages?

- a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$4,938.11
- b. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain
And mental suffering.) \$ 85,000.00
- c. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain
and mental suffering.) \$165,000.00

TOTAL: \$ 254,938.11

Special Verdict Form VF 1407-False Imprisonment—Unnecessary Delay in Processing/Releasing

1. Did Pierre Washington hold Lucille Winston in custody?

Yes No

2. Was there an unnecessary delay in releasing Lucille Winston?

Yes No

3. Did Lucille M. Winston consent to the delay?

Yes No

4. Was Pierre Washington's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to Lucille Washington?

Yes No

5. What are Lucille M. Winston's damages?

- a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$4,938.11
- b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

and mental suffering.) \$165,000.00
c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain
And mental suffering.) \$ 85,000.00

Verdict Form VF 1600 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

1. Was Pierre Washington's conduct outrageous?
 Yes No

2. Did Pierre Washington's conduct intend to cause Lucille Winston emotional distress or did Pierre Washington act with reckless disregard of the probability that Lucille Winston would suffer emotional distress, knowing that Lucille Winston was present when the conduct occurred?
 Yes No

3. Did Lucille Washington suffer severe emotional distress?
 Yes No

4. Was Pierre Washington's conduct a substantial factor in causing Lucille Washington's severe emotional distress?

5. What are Lucille M. Winston's damages?
 - a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$ _____
 - b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$ _____
 - c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain And mental suffering.) \$ _____

Special Verdict Form VF 1603 Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress—Direct Victim

1. Was Pierre Washington Negligent?
 Yes No

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Did Lucille Winston suffer serious emotional distress?

Yes No

3. Was Pierre Washington's negligence a substantial factor in causing Lucille Winston's serious emotional distress?

Yes No

4. What are Lucille M. Winston's damages?

- a. Past economic loss: Medical Expenses \$4,938.11
- b. Past noneconomic loss (including physical pain and mental suffering.) \$165,000.00
- c. Future noneconomic loss (including physical pain And mental suffering.) \$ 85,000.00

Special Verdict Form VF 3700—Vicarious Liability AlliedBarton

1. Was Pierre Washington AlliedBarton's employee or agent?

Yes No

2. Was Pierre Washington acting within the scope of his agency or employment when he harmed Lucille Winston?

Yes No

Special Verdict Form VF 3700—Vicarious Liability Stonestown

1. Was Pierre Washington Stonestown Shopping Center's employee or agent?

Yes No

2. Was Pierre Washington acting within the scope of his agency or employment when he harmed Lucille Winston?

Yes No

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Special Verdict Form VF 3900 Punitive Damages- Individual

1. Did Pierre Washington engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?

Yes No

Special Verdict Form VF 3901 Punitive Damages Against Employer

1. Did Pierre Washington engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?

Yes No

2. Was Pierre Washington an officer, director, or managing agent of AlliedBarton acting on behalf of AlliedBarton?

Yes No

Special Verdict Form VF 3903 Punitive Damages—Entity Defendant--Ratification

1. Did an agent or employee of AlliedBarton engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?

Yes No

2. Did one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of AlliedBarton know of this conduct and adopt or approve it after it occurred?

Yes No

It appearing by reason of those special verdicts that: Plaintiff Lucille M. Winston is entitled to judgment against Defendant Pierre Washington and Defendant AlliedBarton Security

1 Services LLC. and Defendant General Growth Properties INC., dba Stonestown Shopping Center
2 LP..

3 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff
4 Lucille M. Winston shall recover from Defendants Pierre Washington, AlliedBarton Security
5 Services LLC. and General Growth Properties INC., dba Stonestown Shopping Center LP..
6 jointly and severally, \$165,000.00 (one hundred sixty five thousand dollars) in past noneconomic
7 damages, jointly and severally, \$85,000.00 (eighty five thousand dollars) in future noneconomic
8 damages and jointly and severally, \$4,938.11 (four thousand nine hundred thirty eight dollars and
9 eleven cents) in past economic damages totaling the sum of \$ 254,938.11 (two hundred fifty four
10 thousand, nine hundred thirty eight dollars and eleven cents) jointly and severally from
11 defendants Pierre Washington, AlliedBarton Security Services LLC. and General Growth
12 Properties INC., dba Stonestown Shopping Center LP., with interest thereon at the rate OF TEN
13 PERCENT (10%) per annum from the date of the entry of this judgment until paid, together with
14 statutory costs in an amount to be determined as to Defendant Pierre Washington and Defendant
15 AlliedBarton Security Services LLC., and Defendant General Growth Properties INC., dba
16 Stonestown Shopping Center LP., as provided by law, in accordance with cost bills, motions or
17 further order of this Court.
18
19
20
21

22 Dated: 12-1-16

23
24 
25 HONORABLE ARNOLD ROSENFELD
26 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
27
28